IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARUN-UL-RASHID

THURSDAY, THE 16TH AUGUST 2007 / 25TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 23372 of 2007(G)

PETITIONER:

SHAJI, AGED 39 YEARS, S/O.KARUNAKARAN,
THAYITHERIL THEKKATHIL, SREEKOVIL VEEDU,
PERINGALA MURI, KAYAMKULAM VILLAGE,
KARTHIKAPPALLY TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.R.REJI

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
ALAPPUZHA.

2. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
KAYAMKULAM.

3. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KAYAMKULAM.

4. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KAYAMKULAM.

5. MOHANACHANDRAN, THAYILTHERIL THEKKATHIL,
PERINGALA P.O., KAYAMKULAM, ALAPPUZHA
DISTRICT.

6. GIREESH, NADESSERIL,
PULLIKKANAKKU P.O.,
KAYAMKULAM, ALAPPUZHA
DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.R.T.PRADEEP
SRI.V.VIJULAL
SRI.E.SAMEER
SRI.K.V.ANIL KUMAR
GOVT. PLEADER SRI. P.A. SALIM

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 16/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

Ext.P1: True copy of the tax receipt remitted in favour of the petitioner's mother dated 02-04-2001

Ext.P2: True copy of the judgment in Crl.R.P No.2235/2007 dated 14.06.2007

Ext.P3: True copy of the FIS dated 30.06.2007

Ext.P4: True copy of the FIR dated 30.06.2007

Ext. P5: True copy of the representation filed before the 1st respondent dated 23.07.2007

Ext.P6: True copy of the representation filed before the 2nd respondent dated 23.07.2007

Ext.P7: True copy of the representation filed before the 3rd respondent dated 23.07.2007

Ext.P8: True copy of the representation filed before the 4th respondent dated 23.07.2007

//True copy.//

es

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR & HARUN-UL-RASHID, JJ.

WPC NO.23372 OF 2007-G

Judgment

Balakrishnan Nair, J.

The petitioner seeks police protection to his life from the threat of respondents 5 and 6. The learned Government Pleader upon instruction submitted that the petitioner has cheated several persons and he owes money to them. Respondents 5 and 6 are two among such persons. The 5th respondent is aged and infirm and he has no physical capacity to harm the petitioner. It is also submitted that the petitioner is not residing in the address shown in the Writ Petition. He has got two houses and he is residing in the other house. So, there is no necessity to grant protection to him, it is submitted. Several criminal cases have been registered against him also, it is pointed out.

2. We feel that it will be quite inappropriate to order protection to the petitioner. It will show the police in a poor light if it has to escort a criminal involved in several cases. Respondents 5 and 6 also undertake that they will not cause any harm to the petitioner's life. Recording this submission, the Writ

Petition is closed.

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR,JUDGE

16.08.2007 **HARUN-UL-RASHID, JUDGE**

sta